	onal Commissi			Soore heture
Potential # of points	5	3	1	Score betwee 5 and 0
How does the project impact on meeting the priorities and/or	Clearly explain connection,	Secondary or tertiary connection is	Distant connection or	Score between 5
strategies of a regional or state development plan? Questions # 24 and 25.	listed within a CEDS or other regional document and how it aligns with	articulated, can draw connection to strategies outlined	vague relations to strategies	and 0
s the project located in an Opportunity Zone? The recently bassed Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 created Opportunity Zones, which are specially created geographic districts that grant investors tax breaks for investment capital – including tax- ree income. NBRC is currently working on a map, until then the best reference is here:	The investment is located within an Opportunity Zone		The investment is not located within an Opportunity Zone	Score between 5 and 0
Extent to which match funding needed to complete the project is ealistic and available within a year of being awarded. Questions # 23 and 25	Match funding is committed to the project at time of application	Match funding has been clearly outlined and has been demonstrated that it will be available within a year following award	Match funding is poorly outlined or confusing, or has not been convincingly articulated that it will be available within a year following award	Score between 5 and 0
s the project an 'infrastructure' project as outlined in the Application Materials. Questions #15 and 20	Yes		No	Score either 5 or
Potential for future private investment. Private investment defined as for profit business investing as a result of the project. Questions # 26 and 22.	The project demonstrates that private investment will take place as a result of the project.	The project shows potential for future private investment	No private investment will result from the project	Score between 5 and 0
The project will have continuing impacts such as employment poportunities, increased income or wages.	The project demonstrates that employment and/or income will increase	The project draws reasonable connections to opportunities for employment and/or income increases	The project doesn't demonstrate opportunities for increases	Score between 5 and 0
Potential # of points	10	5	0	
Extent to which the project impacts and/or meets the objectives outlined in the NBRC Strategic Plan. Question # 26	Revitalize and modernize essential infrastructure. Increase access, affordability, and use of high- speed telecommunications. Stabilize and reduce electric and thermal energy costs.	Retain, expand and diversify business enterprise. Position the region as an attractive and supportive place for creative and entrepreneurial people. Support and expand a highly productive workforce. Foster entrepreneurial leadership and capacity. Inform and align local, state, and regional economic development decision-making with regional data and perspectives.	Other	Score between 10 and 0
Extent to which the project will aid in meeting performance neasures outlined in the NBRC Strategic Plan. Questions #21, 22, 23.	Able to articulate and draw connection between the project and one or more of the performance measures, based on feasibility studies specific to the project or documented professional opinion	General connection between the project and the performance measures outlined in the Strategic Plan.	No clear connection between performance measures and the project.	Score between 1 and 0
Northern Border Reg		ion - State Specific (50	points total)	
January and the second s	Maine (all range be			
Maine's priority Maine's Priority (10 Points Max) If the project is Economic Devel		opment or Public Infrastructure projects. Maine NBRC Priorities are Public Infrastruc	turo and Economic Dovelo	nmont
nfrastructure/Infrastructure and Tourism (including Outdoor Rec				
Create, retain or attract jobs		Project clearly demonstrates commitment to job creation with evidence that jobs will be created or retained as a direct result of the investment.		
Public Infrastructure/Infrastructure in support of Economic Development		Project clearly identifies a need and demonstrates how the NBRC investment will impact		
ducation and Workforce training		the situation in the region. Project reliably forecasts measurable results, applicant and partners demonstrate they have experience and capability to complete the project and clearly identify impact for		
Project readiness/Budget/Commitment of matching funds/Sustai	nable project	community, region and State Project can begin immediately, including ma award and/or assured completion within 12 identifies evidence based on assumptions a	-18 month timeframe. Appli	icant clearly
	New Han			
	10 -7 points (yes/ high)	6-4 points (somewhat/moderate)	3-0 points (no/low)	Scores range from
	amia impost-	· · ·		10-0
nvests in infrastructure that provides long-term community and econ Builds regional capacity to carry out community economic developme				
, , . , ,	.			Scores range from
trengthens or expands New Hampshire's skilled workforce	5-4 points (yes/high)	3-2 points (somewhat/moderate)	1-0 points (no/low)	5-0

Demonstrates organizational capacity to implement and sustain proje Demonstrates an effective understanding and integration of local eco					
NBRC funding is a necessary part of the project's funding portfolio					
	New Y	York			
	Regional significance and Lo	ng-Term Impact 10-0 points			
Factors considered in determining regional significance and long of a critical service, uniqueness and innovation	g-term impact: Job creation ar	nd retention, Investment in downtowns, geog	graphic distribution of fundi	ng projects, delivery	
Specific Project Development Criter	ia (40 points; each worth up t	o 5 points) High: 5-4 points; Medium: 3-2 po	ints; Low 1-0 points		
	Project	Need			
Project meets a critical opportunity for communities impacted					
	Project	Impact			
Project outputs and outcomes are clearly defined and reliable					
Cost benefit of the project measured against NBRC investment					
	Implementation Capac	city and Commitment			
Sponsor's commitment to the project, credentials and track reco	rd				
Project readiness, including requisite approvals					
	Budg	get			
Detail and accuracy of the budget					
	Work plan ar	nd limeline			
Work plan is clear and feasible for timely project completion					
	Verm	nont			
Section A: Degree to which the project aligns with and advances the 8 "Steps to Success" identified in Vermont's Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy (CEDS) (Up	Link to Vermont CEDS: http://accd.vermont.gov/sites/accdnew/files/documents/DED/CEDS/CEDSExecutiveSummary.pdf				
to 5 points each) Makes financing available	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
Educates a great workforce	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
Builds for the future (infrastructure)	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
Helps businesses flourish	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
Preserves Vermont's working landscape	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
	Vas (Histor E. A. sasista		No // ann 4 O painta		
Cultivates innovation	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
Plans for resilience	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
	Section B: Broad State Prior	rities (Up to 5 points each)			
Is the project located within or does it directly benefit a state designated downtown or village center or Opportunity Zone?	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
Degree to which the project aligns with and advances the Governor's three guiding strategic priorities: strengthen Vermont's Economy; make Vermont more affordable; and protect the most vulnerable Vermonters	Yes/High: 5-4 points	Somewhat/Moderate: 3-2 points	No/Low: 1-0 points		
	Section C: Financia	al Need (5 points)	·		
Extent to which NBRC funding is necessary for the project to proceed or succeed. Project would not happen without NBRC funding: 5 points	Project would happen over a significantly longer timeframe or would have to be significantly scaled back without NBRC funding: 4 points	Project would happen over a moderately longer timeframe or would have to be moderately scaled back without NBRC funding OR the project would still proceed as planned but the lack of NBRC funding would unsustainably stress the applicant's budget: 3 points	The project timeline or implementation would experience slightly adverse impacts without an NBRC grant, but for the most part would proceed as planned: 2 points	This project will happen regardless of NBRC funding, and an NBRC grar will not change the implementation of this project: 0 points	